

Guide to the responsibilities of the directors of an insolvent company



What might an insolvency practitioner advise on?

The insolvency practitioner normally considers and advises on:

- The current financial position to determine the solvency, or otherwise of the company
- Whether continued trading is advisable
- Whether additional finance is required and, if so, the source of that finance

If continued trading is appropriate:

- The production of forecasts and subsequent review of performance against those forecasts at regular intervals
- The holding of regular board meetings to review financial performance so that any deterioration can be identified and appropriate action taken. Directors are generally advised to minute those meetings carefully
- How creditors are to be paid and when
- What should be done with customer deposits received in the normal course of business
- How to maintain continuity of supply of stocks and other goods / services
- Other specific advice relating to the company

We are able to work with directors and their advisors to produce an easy to follow 'traffic light' warning system to guide directors. Taking advice early could help avoid a formal insolvency procedure and reduce the risk to directors personally.

What does an insolvency practitioner do in relation to directors' conduct?

Any discussions or meeting that you might have with us in the lead up to any formal insolvency are confidential.

If the company cannot avoid insolvency and an insolvency practitioner (IP) is appointed, however, the IP is required to:

- Undertake an investigation into the conduct of all directors that have held office in the three years prior to the insolvency and report his or her findings to the Insolvency Service. The Insolvency Service takes such a report into consideration when deciding whether it is appropriate to seek a director's disqualification

- Establish whether it is appropriate to bring action against the directors, officers or others to recover monies for the benefit of creditors

If in the course of the IP's work issues of a criminal nature come to light then the IP has a duty to report such activities to the relevant authorities.

That said, if directors have acted responsibly they should have nothing to fear from the IP's performance of these statutory duties.

The Insolvency Service is an agency of the Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy charged with overseeing insolvency and related issues such as a directors' misconduct.

What could result in potential personal liability of the director?

There are a number of specific areas where there could be consequences for directors, officers and others which could result in a personal financial liability.

Misfeasance and breach of duty

An often overlooked, but overriding, point to bear in mind is that a director of a company owes it a duty of care to put the company's interest ahead of his own. In practice, this can give rise to significant conflicts of interest perhaps most obviously where an individual is a director of several companies involved in dealings with one another such as might arise within a Group.

In a company which is, or may be about to become, insolvent therefore directors should take particular care to ensure that the company's interests are placed ahead of their own and that, in all circumstances, they act in a respectable manner.

Wrongful trading

The Court can hold a director personally liable where it is satisfied that he or she continued the company's activities, giving rise to losses for creditors, when he or she knew or ought to have concluded that the company had no reasonable prospect of avoiding liquidation. A defence to such an action is, however, to show that the director took every step that he or she ought to have taken to minimise losses to creditors.

Whilst this might sound alarming, there are several points to bear in mind as follows:

- In the past, liquidators have only pursued wrongful trading actions through to judgment in a very small number of cases. This is because the Courts have not been eager to find against directors and the related costs of a wrongful trading action can prove prohibitive
- The test is that there has to have been no reasonable prospect that the company could avoid liquidation
- The key here is whether the directors are continuing recklessly, incurring credit which can never be paid and worsening an already irretrievable position for creditors

Fraudulent trading

It is rare for an insolvency practitioner to bring an action for fraudulent trading. But if, in the course of a winding up, it appears that business had been carried on with the intent to defraud creditors or for any fraudulent purpose the Court may require culpable persons to make contributions to the company's assets.

Transactions at an undervalue / preferences

The insolvency legislation contains provisions to reverse transactions motivated by a desire to give one creditor preferential treatment. That is not to say that a struggling company cannot pay a creditor which is placing the company under duress for payment or whose services are critical for some reason.

The key here is a desire, probably unprompted, on the part of the directors to take greater care for the interest of one creditor in the face of an impending insolvency. Typically such circumstances arise when the evidence suggests that there has been a marked reduction in sums due to a creditor holding little or no security from the company but whose debt is covered by a director's personal guarantee. Another common example arises where the company settles a debt to someone connected with the company (such as a director who has a loan to the company) when there has been no pressure for settlement.

Likewise, the directors of a troubled company should not dispose of assets, particularly to connected parties such as themselves or their family members, for less than full value. Such transactions could be deemed transactions at an undervalue and may be overturned in a subsequent liquidation. Whilst not necessarily affecting the directors' personal finances, transactions could still be grounds for the bringing of disqualification proceedings.

Further assistance

If you would like to discuss any aspect of this guide and how it might affect you please contact one of our team on the contact details below.

Meet the Team



Sandra Mundy
Partner
T: +44(0)7870 192031
E: smundy@jamescowper.co.uk



Paul Davies
Partner
Tel: +44(0)7813 639629
E: pdavies@jamescowper.co.uk



Sue Staunton
Joint Managing Partner
T: +44 (0)7887 561131
E: sstaunton@jamescowper.co.uk



Tom Russell
Director
Tel: +44 (0)7717 218129
E: trussell@jamescowper.co.uk

Maximise your **potential**

jamescowperkreston.co.uk

The information in this newsletter must not be relied upon in any specific case.